

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22319,1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/912,079 | 07/24/2001 | Todd R. Collart | IA 1510.01 US | 3412 |
| 22887 | 7590 11/30/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| DISCOVISION ASSOCIATES | | | MCCLELLAN, JAMES S | |
| | ΓUAL PROPERTY DEVEL STREET, SUITE 200 | LOPMENT | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| IRVINE, CA | | | 3627 | |

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | /\ (i | | | |
|--|--|--|---|------------|--|--|--|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 120 | | | |
| | | 09/912,079 | COLLART, TODD R. | ` | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | James S McClellan | 3627 | | | | |
| Period for | The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addres | is | | | |
| THE N - Extens after S - If the p - If NO p - Failure Any re | PRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLIALING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoeriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statution play received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply oly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI | be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. 6 from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | inication. | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) 又 1 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 S | September 2004 | | | | | |
| | | s action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3)□ : | · | | | | | | |
| Dispositio | on of Claims | - | | | | | |
| 5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (| Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | own from consideration. | · | | | | |
| Application | on Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ T | he specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10)∐ T |)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the | = ' ' | , , | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrective of the correction of the corre | | - | , , | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) | acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document Copies of the priority document Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | lication No ceived in this National Sta | ge | | | |
| Attachment(| s) | | | | | | |
| _ | of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sum | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Inform | of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date | | ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152 | ?) | | | |

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Amendment

Applicant's submittal of an amendment was entered on September 21, 2004, wherein:
 claims 1-14 are pending and

claim 1 has been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mages et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,608,804 (Shim).

Regarding **claim 1**, Mages et al. discloses a method for tracking usage of a recording medium comprising: receiving a first indicia (see column 2, lines 46-51) corresponding to an identifier of the recording medium upon the recording medium being input into a device by a user; receiving a second indicia identifying the device (see column 4 lines 4-19); determining a characteristic of the recording medium based on the first indicia (see column 3, lines 27-31); identifying the client device based upon the second indicia (it is inherent that the client device has an identification number because the device is in communication with a service provider over the Internet); and unlocking for playing or installing the recording medium based on the

Application/Control Number: 09/912,079

Art Unit: 3627

determined characteristic and the identified device (see column 3, lines 38-50); [claim 2] the characteristic of the recording medium includes an intended usage (see column 3, lines 27-31, requiring or not requiring pay-per-view); [claim 3] the characteristic of the recording medium includes whether the recording medium was intended for rental (pay-per-view) or retail sale (not pay-per-view); [claim 4] utilizing the client device to read the identifier (see column 4, lines 4-19); and transmitting indicia corresponding to the identifier from the client device to a server via the Internet utilizing a browser embodied on the client device (see column 3, lines 45-55); [claim 5] determining a manner in which the recording medium is being used by the client device based on the determined client identity and recording medium characteristic (see column 3, lines 27-31; requiring or not requiring pay-per-view); and [claim 14] the determined characteristic of the recording medium is that the recording medium has been stolen, the method further comprising the step of disallowing play of the recording medium based upon the determination that the recording medium has been stolen (see column 4, lines 33-41).

Mages et al. fails to disclose storing information in a burst cut area.

Shim discloses a burst cutting area code including a unique disk code including the type of disk (see column 3, lines 47-67).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mages et al. with information stored in a BCA as taught by Shim, because storing information in a BCA allows the identification information to be stored in the innermost area of the lead-in area on the disk, whereby providing a consistent and easy access location for the system identifying the disk.

Art Unit: 3627

4. Claims 6, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mages et al. in view Shim as set forth above for claims 1-5 and 14, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,658,093 (Hellman).

Regarding claims 6, 12, and 13, Mages et al. and Shim fail to explicitly disclose the step of monitoring a database to determine whether a recording medium is being operated on multiple devices; allowing play only an identified client device; and monitoring the number of times the recording medium has played and disallowing play of the recording medium after the recording medium has been played a predetermined number of times.

Hellman teaches the steps of monitoring a database to determine whether a recording medium is being operated on multiple devices; allowing play only an identified client device (see Abstract, lines 11-14, "base unit specific"); and monitoring the number of times the recording medium has played and disallowing play of the recording medium after the recording medium has been played a predetermined number of times (see column 4, lines 21-26).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mages et al./Shim with the recording medium monitoring features taught by Hellman, because monitoring the use of a recording medium helps reduce software piracy (see Hellman, Abstract).

5. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mages et al. in view of Shim as set forth above for claims 1-5 and 14, and further in view U.S. Patent No. 6,332,126 (Peirce et al.).

Art Unit: 3627

Regarding **claims 7-9**, Mages et al. and Shim fail to explicitly disclose identifying the merchant from whom the user obtained the recording medium and transmitting marketing information.

Peirce et al. teaches the use of disclose identifying the merchant from whom the user obtained the recording medium and transmitting marketing information (see column 2, lines 15-66).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mages et al./Shim with merchant monitoring of Peirce et al., because monitoring merchant information increases the data associated with the user's purchasing history and will improve marketing for potential merchants.

6. Claims 10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mages et al. in view Shim as set forth above for claims 1-5 and 14, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,260,758 (Blumberg).

Regarding claims 10 and 11, Mages et al. and Shim fail to explicitly disclose affinity programs that include issuing random prizes and offering coupons.

Blumberg teaches the use of affinity programs that include issuing random prizes and offering coupons (see paragraph bridging columns 5-6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Mages et al./Shim with the affinity programs taught by Blumberg, because affinity programs increase the customers loyalty to the merchant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed September 21, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On page 6, last full paragraph, Applicant argues that Mages does not disclose unlocking for playing or installing the recording medium based on the determined characteristic and the identified device. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. In column 3, lines 38-50, Mages determining a characteristic of the device (Hyper DVD or standard DVD) and identification of the device (see column 4, lines 4-19). Based on the determined characteristic (Hyper DVD or standard DVD) and device ID, Mages unlocks the data for playing.

Conclusion

8. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,079

Art Unit: 3627

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jim McClellan whose telephone number is (703) 305-0212. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Olszewski, can be reached at (703) 308-5183.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks Washington D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872/9306 (Official communications) or (703) 746-3516 (Informal/Draft communications).

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park 5, 2451 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, 7th floor receptionist.

James S. McClellan Primary Examiner A.U. 3627

jsm

November 28, 2004